

Quantum $m \times n$ -matrices and q -deformed Binet-Cauchy formula

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1991 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 24 L1243

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/24/21/001>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 129.252.86.83

The article was downloaded on 01/06/2010 at 13:58

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Quantum $m \times n$ -matrices and q -deformed Binet–Cauchy formula

S A Merkulov

Theoretical Problems Department, USSR Academy of Sciences, ul. Vesnina 12, Moscow 121002, USSR

Abstract. Quantum multiplicative matrices of size $m \times n$ are introduced and studied. The q -generalization of the Binet–Cauchy formula is found.

Recently there has been growing interest [1–5], both from the physical as well as the mathematical point of view, in studying quantum ‘groups’, ‘spaces’ and algebras. Much attention has been paid to square quantum multiplicative matrices which are the key objects in the quantum group theory.

In this letter we define and investigate quantum multiplicative matrices of size $m \times n$. In particular, we find the q -generalization of the classical Binet–Cauchy formula, which expresses the quantum determinant of the matrix product $U \circ V$ of a quantum matrix U of size $m \times n$ by a quantum matrix V of size $n \times m$ in terms of m th order q -minors of U and V .

Following [1], we first make a brief review of definitions of quantum groups $M_q(n)$ of matrices of size $n \times n$ and the corresponding quantum planes $A_q^{n|0}$ and $A_q^{0|n}$.

The coordinate ring $A_q(n)$ of the manifold $M_q(n)$ of quantum $n \times n$ -matrices is the polynomial \mathbb{C} -algebra $\mathbb{C}\langle z_i^k, i, k = 1, \dots, n \rangle$ generated by n^2 symbols z_i^k subject to the following relations

$$\begin{aligned}
 z_i^k z_l^j &= q z_l^j z_i^k && \text{for } k < l \\
 z_i^k z_j^k &= q z_j^k z_i^k && \text{for } i < j \\
 z_i^k z_j^l - z_j^l z_i^k &= (q - q^{-1}) z_i^l z_j^k && \text{for } i < j, k < l \\
 z_i^k z_j^l &= z_j^l z_i^k && \text{for } i < j, k > l.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{1}$$

The quantum determinant of the matrix z_i^j generating $A_q(n)$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \det_q(z_i^j) &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-q)^{l(\sigma)} z_1^{\sigma(1)} z_2^{\sigma(2)} \dots z_n^{\sigma(n)} \\
 &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-q)^{l(\sigma)} z_{\sigma(1)}^1 z_{\sigma(2)}^2 \dots z_{\sigma(n)}^n
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2}$$

where S_n is the permutation group of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and, for each $\sigma \in S_n$, $l(\sigma)$ denotes the number of pairs (i, j) with $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ and $\sigma(i) > \sigma(j)$.

According to Manin [5], the quantum group $M_q(n)$ may be described as an automorphism ‘group’ of quantum planes $A_q^{n|0}$ and $A_q^{0|n}$ which are polynomial \mathbb{C} -algebras, $A_q^{n|0} = \mathbb{C}\langle x^i, i = 1, \dots, n \rangle$ and $A_q^{0|n} = \mathbb{C}\langle \xi^j, j = 1, \dots, n \rangle$, generated correspondingly by symbols x^i and ξ^j with the commutation rules

$$x^i x^j = q x^j x^i \quad \text{for } i < j \tag{3}$$

$$(\xi^i)^2 = 0 \quad \xi^i \xi^j = -q^{-1} \xi^j \xi^i \quad \text{for } i < j. \tag{4}$$

More exactly, there exist algebra morphisms

$$\delta_n : A_q^{n|0} \rightarrow A_q(n) \otimes A_q^{n|0}, \tilde{\delta}_n : A_q^{0|n} \rightarrow A_q(n) \otimes A_q^{0|n}$$

such that

$$\delta_n(x^i) = \sum_{j=1}^n z_j^i \otimes x^j \quad \tilde{\delta}_n(\xi^i) = \sum_{j=1}^n z_j^i \otimes \xi^j.$$

The co-action $\tilde{\delta}_n$ applied to the monomial $\xi^1 \dots \xi^n$ gives the formula [1, 5]

$$\tilde{\delta}_n(\xi_1 \dots \xi_n) = \text{Det}_q(z_j^i) \otimes \xi^1 \dots \xi^n.$$

Analogously, applying $\tilde{\delta}_n$ to the monomial $\xi^{i_1} \dots \xi^{i_n}$ one finds

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\delta}_n(\xi^{i_1} \dots \xi^{i_m}) &= \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n} z_{j_1}^{i_1} \dots z_{j_n}^{i_m} \otimes \xi^{j_1} \dots \xi^{j_n} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-q)^{l(\sigma)} z_{\sigma(1)}^{i_1} \dots z_{\sigma(n)}^{i_m} \otimes \xi^1 \dots \xi^n. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

If two or more indices i_1, \dots, i_n coincide, the left-hand side of (5) vanishes. Thus we obtain the formula

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-q)^{l(\sigma)} z_{\sigma(1)}^{i_1} \dots z_{\sigma(n)}^{i_m} = 0 \quad \text{if } i_k = i_l \text{ for some } k, l \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

If all indices i_1, \dots, i_n are distinct, we obtain from (5) the identity

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-q)^{l(\sigma)} z_{\sigma(1)}^{i_1} \dots z_{\sigma(n)}^{i_n} = (-q)^{l[i_1, \dots, i_n]} \text{Det}_q(z_j^i) \tag{6}$$

where $l[i_1, \dots, i_n]$ denotes the number of pairs (i_k, i_l) in the ordered set $\{i_1, \dots, i_n\}$ with $k < l$ and $i_k > i_l$.

Manin's interpretation of $M_q(n)$ suggests to define the space $M_q(m, n)$ of quantum matrices of size $m \times n$ as the 'space' of algebra morphism from quantum planes $A_q^{m|0}$ and $A_q^{0|m}$ to, respectively, quantum planes $A_q^{n|0}$ and $A_q^{0|n}$.

Thus we introduce symbols U_i^α (Latin indices take values $1, \dots, n$, while Greek ones take values $1, \dots, m$) and consider the polynomial \mathbb{C} -algebra $A_q(m, n) = \mathbb{C} \langle U_i^\alpha, 1 \leq \alpha \leq m, 1 \leq i \leq n \rangle$. Let us find commutation relations for U_i^α that ensure the existence of algebra morphisms

$$\delta_{m,n} : A_q^{m|0} \rightarrow A_q(m, n) \otimes A_q^{n|0} \quad \tilde{\delta}_{m,n} : A_q^{0|m} \rightarrow A_q(m, n) \otimes A_q^{0|n}$$

such that

$$\delta_{m,n}(y^\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^n U_i^\alpha \otimes x^i \quad \tilde{\delta}_{m,n}(\zeta^\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^n U_i^\alpha \otimes \xi^i$$

where $y^\alpha, \zeta^\beta, x^i$ and ξ^j are canonical generators of $A_q^{m|0}, A_q^{0|m}, A_q^{n|0}$ and $A_q^{0|n}$ respectively.

Simple calculations give the following result: the desired morphisms $\delta_{m,n}$ and $\tilde{\delta}_{m,n}$ exist provided symbols U_i^α satisfy the commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} U_i^\alpha U_i^\beta &= q U_i^\beta U_i^\alpha & \text{for } \alpha < \beta \\ U_i^\alpha U_j^\alpha &= q U_j^\alpha U_i^\alpha & \text{for } i < j \\ U_i^\alpha U_j^\beta &= U_j^\beta U_i^\alpha & \text{for } \alpha < \beta \text{ and } i > j, \text{ or } \beta > \alpha \text{ and } i < j \\ U_i^\alpha U_j^\beta - U_j^\beta U_i^\alpha &= (q - q^{-1}) U_j^\alpha U_i^\beta & \text{for } \alpha < \beta, i < j. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

The set of symbols $\mathbf{U} = \{U_i^\alpha\}$ satisfying the commutation algebra (7) is called a quantum matrix of size $m \times n$, while the correspondent polynomial ring $A_q(m, n) = \mathbb{C}\langle U_i^\alpha \rangle$ is called the coordinate ring on the manifold $M_q(m, n)$ of quantum matrices of size $m \times n$.

Suppose that $\mathbf{U} = \{U_i^\alpha\} \in M_q(m, n)$ and $\mathbf{V} = \{V_A^i\} \in M_q(n, p)$ (capital Latin indices take values $1, \dots, p$), and consider the $m \times p$ matrix $\mathbf{W} = \{W_A^\alpha\}$ given by

$$W_A^\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n U_i^\alpha \otimes V_A^i. \tag{8}$$

Using commutation relations (7) for $\{U_i^\alpha\}$ and $\{V_A^i\}$, one obtains, if $\alpha < \beta$,

$$\begin{aligned} W_A^\alpha W_A^\beta &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n U_i^\alpha U_j^\beta \otimes V_A^i V_A^j \\ &= \sum_{i < j} U_i^\alpha U_j^\beta \otimes V_A^i V_A^j + \sum_{i=1}^n U_i^\alpha U_i^\beta \otimes V_A^i V_A^i + \sum_{i > j} U_i^\alpha U_j^\beta \otimes V_A^i V_A^j \\ &= q \sum_{i < j} U_j^\beta U_i^\alpha \otimes V_A^j V_A^i + (q - q^{-1}) \sum_{i < j} U_i^\beta U_j^\alpha \otimes V_A^i V_A^j \\ &\quad + q \sum_{i=1}^n U_i^\alpha U_i^\beta \otimes V_A^i V_A^i + q^{-1} \sum_{i > j} U_j^\beta U_i^\alpha \otimes V_A^j V_A^i = q W_A^\beta W_A^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, one finds

$$\begin{aligned} W_A^\alpha W_B^\alpha &= q W_B^\alpha W_A^\alpha && \text{if } A < B \\ W_A^\alpha W_B^\beta &= W_B^\beta W_A^\alpha && \text{if } \alpha < \beta \text{ and } A > B, \text{ or } \alpha > \beta \text{ and } A < B \\ W_A^\alpha W_B^\beta - W_B^\beta W_A^\alpha &= (q - q^{-1}) W_B^\alpha W_A^\beta && \text{if } \alpha < \beta, A < B. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we conclude that the matrix $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{U} \circ \mathbf{V}$, where \circ denotes the matrix multiplication (8), is a quantum matrix of size $m \times p$.

This important property of multiplicativity of non-square quantum matrices may be restated in the spirit of Hopf algebras as follows: for any natural numbers m, n and p there exist algebra morphisms

$$\Delta_{m,n,p} : A_q(m, p) \rightarrow A_q(m, n) \otimes A_q(n, p)$$

such that

$$\Delta_{m,n,p}(W_A^\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^n U_i^\alpha \otimes V_A^i$$

where $\{W_A^\alpha\}$, $\{U_i^\alpha\}$ and $\{V_A^i\}$ are canonical generators of coordinate rings $A_q(m, p)$, $A_q(m, n)$ and $A_q(n, p)$ respectively.

Moreover, morphisms $\Delta_{m,n,p}$ and defined earlier morphisms $\delta_{m,n}$ and $\delta_{n,p}$ are related to each other in such a way that one has, for any m, n and p , a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_q^{m|0} & \xrightarrow{\delta_{m,p}} & A_q(m, p) \otimes A_q^{p|0} \\ \delta_{m,n} \downarrow & & \downarrow \Delta_{m,n,p} \otimes \text{id} \\ A_q(m, n) \otimes A_q^{n|0} & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \delta_{n,p}} & A_q(m, n) \otimes A_q(n, p) \otimes A_q^{p|0}. \end{array}$$

There is also an analogous diagram for the family of morphisms $\tilde{\delta}_{m,n}$.

In the particular case $m = n = p$ the comultiplication $\Delta_{m,n,p}$ coincides precisely with the comultiplication Δ which enters the definition of the quantum group [1-5].

Let $\mathbf{U} = \{U_i^\sigma\}$ be a quantum matrix of size $m \times n$ (with $m < n$) and $\mathbf{V} = \{V_\alpha^i\}$ a quantum matrix of size $n \times m$, so that the quantum matrix $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{U} \circ \mathbf{V}$ is of size $n \times n$, and we may consider its quantum determinant $\text{Det}_q \mathbf{W}$. Our task now is to express $\text{Det}_q \mathbf{W}$ in terms of constituent quantum matrices \mathbf{U} and \mathbf{V} .

Fix any ordered set $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m \leq n$ of m natural numbers i_1, \dots, i_m and define the correspondent q -minor of the m th order of \mathbf{U} by

$$U_q(i_1 \dots i_m) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} (-q)^{l(\sigma)} U_{i_1}^{\sigma(1)} \dots U_{i_m}^{\sigma(m)} \tag{9}$$

and the q -minor of the m th order of \mathbf{V} by

$$V_q(i_1 \dots i_m) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} (-q)^{l(\sigma)} V_{\sigma(1)}^{i_1} \dots V_{\sigma(m)}^{i_m}. \tag{10}$$

By definition (2),

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Det}_q(\mathbf{W}) &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-q)^{l(\sigma)} W_1^{\sigma(1)} \dots W_n^{\sigma(n)} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} (-q)^{l(\sigma)} U_{j_1}^{\sigma(1)} \dots U_{j_m}^{\sigma(m)} \otimes V_1^{j_1} \dots V_n^{j_m}. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Terms in the sum (11) which have two or more indices j_1, \dots, j_m coinciding, equal to zero. Thus we should consider only those $n!/(n-m)!$ summands whose indices j_1, \dots, j_m are pairwise distinct. Then the sum (11) may be represented as a union of $\binom{n}{m}$ sums, each having $m!$ summands differing from each other only by a permutation of a fixed set of indices $\{j_1, \dots, j_m\}$ with $j_1 < \dots < j_m$.

Let us consider one of such sums,

$$G(j_1 \dots j_m) \equiv \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} \sum_{\sigma' \in S'_m} (-q)^{l(\sigma)} U_{\sigma'(j_1)}^{\sigma(1)} \dots U_{\sigma'(j_m)}^{\sigma(m)} \otimes V_1^{\sigma'(j_1)} \dots V_m^{\sigma'(j_m)}$$

where S'_m is the permutation group of the set $\{j_1, \dots, j_m\}$. By (6), one has

$$\begin{aligned} G(j_1 \dots j_m) &= \sum_{\sigma \in S'_m} U_q(j_1 \dots j_m)^{l[\sigma'(j_1) \dots \sigma'(j_m)]} \otimes V_1^{\sigma'(j_1)} \dots V_m^{\sigma'(j_m)} \\ &= U_q(j_1 \dots j_m) \otimes V_q(j_1 \dots j_m). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we conclude that

$$\text{Det}_q(\mathbf{U} \circ \mathbf{V}) = \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_m} U_q(j_1 \dots j_m) \otimes V_q(j_1 \dots j_m).$$

This is the q -generalization of the classical Binet–Cauchy formula [6]. It can be readily generalized as follows.

Let $\mathbf{U} = \{U_i^\sigma\}$ be a quantum matrix of size $m \times n$ and $\mathbf{V} = \{V_A^i\}$ a quantum matrix of size $m \times p$ so that the quantum matrix $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{U} \circ \mathbf{V}$ is of size $m \times p$. Define q -minors of the r th order of \mathbf{U} by

$$U_q \left(\begin{matrix} i_1 & \dots & i_r \\ j_1 & \dots & j_r \end{matrix} \right) = \text{Det}_q \{ U_{i_t}^{\sigma(t)}, 1 \leq \sigma, t \leq m \}$$

and similarly for q -minors of \mathbf{V} and \mathbf{W} . Then

$$W \left(\begin{matrix} i_1 & \dots & i_r \\ k_1 & \dots & k_r \end{matrix} \right) = \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_r} U_q \left(\begin{matrix} i_1 & \dots & i_r \\ j_1 & \dots & j_r \end{matrix} \right) \otimes V_q \left(\begin{matrix} j_1 & \dots & j_r \\ k_1 & \dots & k_r \end{matrix} \right).$$

In the limit $q \rightarrow 1$ the latter formula also reduces to the well known classical result [6].

References

- [1] Faddeev L D, Reshetikhin N Yu and Takhtajan L A 1987 *Alg. Anal.* **1** 178
- [2] Drinfeld V G 1986 *Proc. Int. Congr. Math., Berkley* vol 1 pp 798–820
- [3] Jimbo M 1986 *Lett. Math. Phys.* **11** 247–52
- [4] Woronowicz S L 1987 *Commun. Math. Phys.* **111** 613–65
- [5] Manin Yu I 1987 *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **37** 191–205
- [6] Horn R A and Johnson C R 1986 *Matrix Analysis* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)